

**SACRAMENTO EMPLOYMENT AND TRAINING AGENCY (SETA)**

**Funding Recommendation for WIOA, Title I, Youth  
Program, for Program Year 2023-2024**

**FUNDING RECOMMENDATIONS**

**Funding Recommendation Chart  
for In-School and Out-of-School WIOA Youth Services**

**WIOA Youth Program Performance Scores**

**Program Years 2023-2024**

**The Staff funding recommendations will be presented to the Youth  
Committee for approval on May 4, 2023 at 1:30 p.m.**

**The meeting will be held in person at SETA Board Room at  
925 Del Paso Blvd., Suite 100, Sacramento CA 95815**

**May 3, 2023**

**DRAFT WIOA OSY YOUTH FUNDING RECOMMENDATION  
PY 2023-2024**

Rank	Total Points	Out of School Youth Provider	Amount Requested	Proposed Number to be Served	2022-2023 Funding	2023-2024 Funding Recommendation	% of Total Funding	Cost Per Participant	# to Serve	Area/Location
1	131	Elk Grove Unified School District	\$329,792	50	\$215,904	\$329,792	13%	\$6,596	50	South Sacramento, Elk Grove/Florin and Galt AJCCs
1	130	Sacramento City USD	320,130	50	172,588	320,130	12%	6,403	50	South Sacramento, Meadowview, Fruitridge, Florin, Florin-Perkins, North Sacramento, Rancho Cordova, Arden Arcade, Del Paso Heights/SCUSD AJCC
1	128	California Human Development	166,000	25	132,000	166,000	6%	6,640	25	Galt/Florin and Galt, AJCCs
1	127	Lutheran Social Services	495,000	75	NA	132,000	5%	6,600	20	Downtown & East Sacramento
1	125	North State Building Industry Foundation	352,316	35	191,438	352,316	14%	10,066	35	Foothill Farms, North Highlands, Rancho Cordova, Arden Arcade, Meadowview, South Sacramento, Rosemont, Antelope/Hillsdale, Mather, Crossroads and Greater Sacramento Urban League AJCC
2	123	Folsom Cordova Community Partnership	295,604	50	70,944	100,505	4%	5,912	17	Rancho Cordova, Rosemont, Folsom/Folsom Cordova Community Partnership and Mather AJCCs
2	123	La Familia Counseling Center	514,275	65	189,976	253,182	10%	7,912	32	Downtown, Midtown, South Sacramento/Florin, and La Familia AJCCs
2	123	Asian Resources, Inc.	232,995	40	156,726	151,447	6%	5,825	26	Downtown, Midtown, South Sacramento, Rancho Cordova, North Highlands, Arden-Arcade, South Natomas, Del Paso Heights/Asian Resources, Florin and Mark Sanders AJCCs
2	122	Greater Sacramento Urban League	266,658	40	127,854	173,328	7%	6,666	26	Del Paso Heights, Oak Park/Greater Sacramento Urban League and Hillsdale AJCCs
2	121	California Indian Manpower	305,978	25	NA	183,587	7%	12,239	15	South and North Sacramento, North Highlands, Rosemont, Rancho
2	120	Goodwill Industries	149,758	19	149,188	118,230	5%	7,882	15	Downtown, Midtown/ Mark Sanders AJCC
2	114	Lao Family Community Development	332,000	50	132,748	99,600	4%	6,640	15	North Sacramento, Foothill Farms, Del Paso Heights, Arden-Arcade, Oak Park, South Sacramento, Meadowview, Florin/Hillsdale, EGACE, SCUSD, Galt, Mark Sanders and Florin AJCCs
3	106	Juma Ventures	106,758	16	106,758	0	0%	0	0	Oak Park, Meadowview, Del Paso Heights, Mather Field/Hillsdale and Asian Resources AJCCs
4	88	Ukrainian American House	260,000	40	NA	0	0%	0	0	McClellan Park, Arden-Arcade, Rio Linda/North Highlands, Florin, Foothill Farms
4	85	Second Chance Education Inc.	142,060	20	NA	0	0%	0	0	Sacramento County- Non-Specified
<b>Subtotal Out of School Youth</b>			<b>\$4,269,324</b>	<b>600</b>		<b>\$2,380,116</b>		<b>\$7,301</b>	<b>326</b>	

*At least 20% of funds must be expended on paid work experience.*

**DRAFT WIOA ISY YOUTH FUNDING RECOMMENDATION  
PY 2023-2024**

Rank	Total Points	In School Youth Provider	Amount Requested	Proposed Number to be Served	2022-2023 Funding	2023-2024 Funding Recommendation	% of Total Funding	Cost Per Participant	# to Serve	Area/Location
1	124	City of Sacramento Dept of Parks and Rec	\$222,263	32	\$89,216	\$222,263	9%	\$6,946	32	South Natomas, North Sacramento, Del Paso Heights, Midtown, South Sacramento/SCUSD AJCC
3	90	Second Chance Education Inc.	600,000	100	NA	0	0	0	0	Sacramento County- Non-Specified
<b>Subtotal In School Youth</b>			\$822,263	132	\$89,216 0	<b>\$222,263</b>		<b>\$6,945.72</b>	<b>32</b>	

*At least 20% of funds must be expended on paid work experience.*

## WIOA YOUTH PERFORMANCE SCORES FOR RFP 2023-2027

Agency Name:	Actual/Goal Enrollment 2019-2023	Enrollment Score	Employment/Ed ucation 2020-2022	(MSG) 2020-2022	WEX Expenditures 2020-2022	Total
<b>Juma Ventures</b>	PY 1: 21/19 PY 2: 18/19 PY 3: 17/17 PY 4: 14/18	8	7	0	4	20
<b>Goodwill</b>	PY 1:18/19 PY 2:19/19 PY 3:16/18 PY 4: 5/19*	3	10	7	10	30
<b>GSUL</b>	PY 1: 22/19 PY 2: 19/19 PY 3: 14/16 PY 4: 19/18*	5	10	10	10	35
<b>FCCP</b>	PY 1: 27/28 PY 2: 26/28 PY 3: 12/24 PY 4: 11/12	8	7	5	10	30
<b>Lao Family</b>	PY 1: 23/19 PY 2: 20/19 PY 3: 19/20 PY 4: 23/22	10	6	0	10	26
<b>SCUSD</b>	PY 1: 28/25 PY 2: 26/28 PY 3: 26/25 PY 4: 26/26	10	10	10	8	38
<b>NSBIA</b>	PY 1: 25/19 PY 2: 24/19 PY 3: 30/24 PY 4: 31/26	10	10	6	10	36
<b>EGUSD</b>	PY 1: 46/47	10	9	9	10	38

## WIOA YOUTH PERFORMANCE SCORES FOR RFP 2023-2027

	PY 2: 42/47 PY 3: 38/42 PY 4: 41/39					
<b>CHD</b>	PY 1: 18/19 PY 2: 19/19 PY 3: 22/25 PY 4: 24/22	10	10	6	10	<b>36</b>
<b>LAFCC</b>	PY 1: 22/23 PY 2: 23/23 PY 3: 24/24 PY 4: 20/23	10	8	6	10	<b>34</b>
<b>ARI</b>	PY 1:17/19 PY 2:19/19 PY 3:17/18 PY 4: 19/18	10	10	5	10	<b>35</b>
<b>City of Sac</b>	PY 1: 14/14 PY 2: 14/14 PY 3: 18/16 PY 4: 16/16	10	10	0	10	<b>30</b>
<b><u>NEW PROVIDERS**</u></b>	<b>Based on References</b>					
<b>Lutheran Social Services</b>	Verified					<b>40</b>
<b>Ukrainian American House</b>	Verified					<b>21</b>
<b>California Indian Manpower</b>	Verified					<b>40</b>
<b>Second Chance Education</b>	Verified					<b>30</b>

\* Received extension of enrollment deadline.

\*\*Completed references from organization agencies that respondent has had direct involvement with funding for program of similar size and scope. Evaluated references based on these criteria.

-Total Performance is out of 40

-2 providers including FCCP and Goodwill were on Corrective Action for not meeting 85% enrollments goals for 1 out of the 4 years. (Goodwill-27% with multiple extensions, FCCP-50%).